United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,827	04/09/2004	Terrence Martineau	ALC 3126	8495
7590 04/17/2007 KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.			EXAMINER	
Suite 240 1725 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314			TANK, ANDREW L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2109	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/820,827	MARTINEAU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Andrew Tank ears on the cover sheet with the c	2109			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ap	oril 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/09/2004</u> . 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2109

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the original filing of April 9, 2004. Claims 1-20 are pending and have been considered below.

2. Examiner's Note. The Applicant appears to be attempting to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112 6th paragraph in Claims 10-18 by using "means-plus-function" language. However, the Examiner notes that the only "means" for performing these cited functions in the specification appears to be computer program modules. While the claims pass the first test of the three-prong test used to determine invocation of paragraph 6, since no other specific structural limitations are disclosed in the specification, the claims do not meet the other tests of the three-prong test. Therefore, 35 U.S.C. 112 6th paragraph has not been invoked when considering these claims below.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of a minor typographical error in line 7: "GIU". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

4. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: typographical errors. Both claim 8 and claim 9 claim to be child claims of claims 2 and 4 respectively.

However, they begin by "The system of..". Claims 2 and 4 are not system claims but method

/ -**4**5_{a/}~ 3

Art Unit: 2109

claims and the examiner believes this was due to a typographical error by the applicant.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

- Applicant is advised that should claim 19 be found allowable, claim 2 will be objected to 5. under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 6. Applicant is advised that should claim 20 be found allowable, claim 3 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 2, 3, 6-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2109

- Claims 2, 3, 6-8: Claim 2 recites the limitation "said highlighted objects" in line 2. However, in the parent claim 1, there are both a primary object and subtended objects which are highlighted objects. It is unclear and indefinite whether the primary object, the subtended objects, or all the objects are then arranged in a specified order as in claim 2. The examiner will interpret this to be the subtended objects which are then placed in a list.
- 9. Claim 12 recites the limitation "said columns" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The examiner will interpret claim 12 as being dependent on claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

10. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

11. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 10-18 are drawn to a system comprising means for structure. The examiner has determined these structure limitations to be computer program modules. Therefore, the system involved is a system of computer program modules. A computer program module is not a series of steps or acts and is not a process. A computer program module is not a physical article or object and as such is not a machine or manufacture. A computer program module is not a combination of substances and therefore not a compilation of matter. Thus, a computer program module by itself or in combination does not fall within any of the four categories of invention. Therefore, claims 10-18 are not statutory.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,827 Page 5

Art Unit: 2109

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 13. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-14, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by HP OpenView Network Node Manager (NNM) v. 6.01 6.31, as evidenced by "A Guide To Scalability and Distribution for HP OpenView Network Node Manager", Edition 1, Manufacturing Part Number: J1240-90001, Copyright 1998 Hewlett-Packard Company, http://ovweb.external.hp.com/ovnsmdps/pdf/j1240-90001.pdf (NNM GSD) and "Managing Your Network with HP OpenView Network Node Manager", Manufacturing Part Number: J1240-90080, Copyright 2001 Hewlett-Packard Company http://ovweb.external.hp.com/ovnsmdps/pdf/j1240-90080.pdf (NNM MYN).
 - <u>Claim 1</u>: <u>NNM</u> can be used to display highlighted objects' information on a graphical user interface (GUI), by:
 - o highlighting a primary object on a GUI window at a selected hierarchically level;
 - o identifying a highlighted object subtended by said primary object at a hierarchically next lower level;
 - o selecting said highlighted object from an object storage means and placing same in a list of highlighted objects;

Art Unit: 2109

o repeating for all available hierarchical levels until all highlighted objects corresponding to said primary objects are identified and placed in said list (NNM - GSD: pages 143-144 "ovtopodump" command can display a tabled list of node attributes, including connected stations, NNM - MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping can show devices connected to the given object along different ports).

Page 6

- Claim 2: NNM shows the method as in claim 1 above, and further shows displaying said list in a highlighted objects window where said highlighted objects are arranged in a specified order (NNM MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping can show devices connected to the given object along different ports, list sorted by Port Number).
- <u>Claim 4</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the method as in claim 1, wherein said list comprises a row for each highlighted object, and a plurality of columns, each column for providing a specified attribute of said highlighted object (<u>NNM MYN</u>: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping can show devices connected to the given object along different ports).
- <u>Claim 5</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the method as in claim 4, wherein said specified attributes are
 the specification of said highlighted object and the name of said highlighted object
 (<u>NNM MYN</u>: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping Hostname and Physical Address).
- <u>Claim 6</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the method as in claim 2, where said highlighted objects window displays a column for an icon visually identifying said highlighted object

Art Unit: 2109

(<u>NNM – MYN</u>: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping – an icon is displayed in row Port 0).

- <u>Claim 7</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the method as in claim 2, wherein said highlighted objects window displays an object status column for defining the current status of said highlighted object (<u>NNM MYN</u>: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping Status Column).
- <u>Claim 9</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the method as in claim 4, wherein said GUI selects said specified order by sorting the objects in said list by any of said columns (<u>NNM</u> <u>MYN</u>: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping sorted by Port Number).
- Claims 10 and 19: NNM shows a highlighted objects window system for a graphical user interface (GUI) of the type provided with highlighting capabilities and adapted to transmit commands and display information with a view to enable management of a communication network, said system comprising:
 - o means for identifying all highlighted objects in a highlighted hierarchy corresponding to a primary object highlighted on said GUI; and
 - means for selecting only said highlighted objects from an object storage
 means and placing said objects in a list,
 - wherein said GUI displays said list in a highlighted objects window where said highlighted objects are arranged in a specified order (NNM GSD: pages 143-144 "ovtopodump" command can display a tabled list of node attributes, including connected stations, NNM MYN: page 117-118, page

Art Unit: 2109

287-288 Port-Address Mapping can show devices connected to the given object along different ports, list sorted by Port Number).

- Claim 11: NNM shows the system as in claim 10, wherein said list comprises a row for each highlighted object, and a plurality of columns, each column for providing a specified attribute of said highlighted object (NNM MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping can show devices connected to the given object along different ports).
- <u>Claim 12</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the system as in claim 11, wherein said GUI selects said specified order by sorting the objects in said list by any of said columns (<u>NNM</u> <u>MYN</u>: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping sorted by Port Number).
- Claim 13: NNM shows the system as in claim 12, wherein said highlighted objects window displays a column for an icon visually identifying said highlighted object (NNM MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping an icon is displayed in row Port 0), a column with the specification of said highlighted object and the name of said highlighted object (NNM MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping Hostname and Physical Address).
- <u>Claim 14</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the system as in claim 13, wherein said list further comprises an object status column for defining the current status of said highlighted object
 (<u>NNM MYN</u>: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping Status Column).

Art Unit: 2109

<u>Claim 16</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the system as in claim 10, wherein said object storage means comprises an object library for maintaining data pertinent to all objects present at a respective network node (<u>NNM - MYN</u>: pages 68-69).

Page 9

- <u>Claim 17</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the system as in claim 10, wherein said object storage means comprise a connectivity database for maintaining routing data pertinent to all routes currently involving a respective network node (<u>NNM MYN</u>: pages 68-69).
- <u>Claim 18</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the system as in claim 10, wherein said highlighted objects window comprises a refresh button for updating said list (<u>NNM MYN</u>: pages 190-192).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 3, 8, 15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HP OpenView Network Node Manager (<u>NNM</u>) v. 6.31, as evidenced by "Managing Your Network with HP OpenView Network Node Manager", Manufacturing Part Number: J1240-90080, Copyright 2001 Hewlett-Packard Company http://ovweb.external.hp.com/ovnsmdps/pdf/j1240-90080.pdf (NNM MYN).
 - <u>Claim 3</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the method as in claim 2 above, but does not specifically disclose that the specified order by which the list is ordered is the hierarchical order

Art Unit: 2109

of the objects. However, NNM does show sorting of the list by a column (NNM – MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping: sorted by Port Number) as well as how hierarchical information relates to the primary object (NNM – MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to sort the list by the hierarchical order of the objects. One would have been motivated to do this in order to more easily identify the source of a problem when it occurs, providing for reduced downtime of network systems and devices (NNM – MYN: page 48-50).

- Claim 8: NNM shows the method as in claim 2 above, but does not specifically show that the highlighted objects window displays a count column for counting the number of said highlighted objects in said list. However, NNM does show the numbering of the objects using an index (NNM MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping: ifIndex column). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to include a count column. One would have been motivated to do this in order to provide a clearer view of the number of objects connected to a given primary object.
- <u>Claim 15</u>: <u>NNM</u> shows the system as in claim 13 above, but does not specifically show that list comprises a count column for counting the number of said highlighted objects in said list. However, <u>NNM</u> does show the numbering of the objects using an index (<u>NNM MYN</u>: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping: ifIndex column). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the present invention was made to include a count column. One would have been motivated to do this in order to provide a clearer view of the number of objects connected to a given primary object.

Claim 20: NNM shows the method as in claim 19 above, but does not specifically disclose that the specified order by which the list is ordered is the hierarchical order of the objects. However, NNM does show sorting of the list by a column (NNM – MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping: sorted by Port Number) as well as how hierarchical information relates to the primary object (NNM – MYN: page 117-118, page 287-288 Port-Address Mapping). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to sort the list by the hierarchical order of the objects. One would have been motivated to do this in order to more easily identify the source of a problem when it occurs, providing for reduced downtime of network systems and devices (NNM – MYN: page 48-50).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Tank whose telephone number is 571-270-1692. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (Alt. Fri Off) 0730-1500 EST.

Art Unit: 2109

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Myhre can be reached on 571-270-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALT

April 10, 2007

James W. Myhre

Supervisory Primary Examiner